

PATENT

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Jan Seppala et al

Application No.:

09/970458

Filed:

Oct. 2, 2001

For:

STENT BODY SOCK

Examiner:

Sarah K. Webb

**Group Art Unit:** 

3762

Mail Stop

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Docket No.: S63.2-11122 US02

**DATE:** June 27, 2003

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

TO: Examiner Webb

FACSIMILE NO.: 1-703-872-9302

**GROUP ART UNIT: 3762** 

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES (including cover letter):

TIME:

Following please find a 3 page Response After Final and 1 page Facsimile Transmit al

Letter.

The Commissioner of Patents is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 2% -0350 for a one month extension of time fee for \$110.00. To the extent that any petition is required to consider this communication, please treat this as such a petition.

Respectfully Submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETTA STEINKRAUS, P.A.

Date: June 27, 2003

Richard A. Arret Reg. No. 33153

6109 Blue Circle Drive, Suite 2000 Minnetonka, MN 55343-9185 Telephone: (952) 563-3000 Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. 1-703-872-9302, on June 27, 2003.



#7/Response

125/ 4/10

## **PATENT**

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Jan Seppala, Fernando DiCaprio, Raed Rizq

Application No.:

09/970458

Filed: For:

October 2, 2001 Stent Body Sock

Examiner:

Sarah K. Webb

**Group Art Unit:** 

3762

Docket No.: S63.2R-10087-US

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_\_
Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Letter Response

07/07/2003 LFULTON 00000007 220350 09970458
This letter response is in response to the office action mailed February 27, 2 103.

01 FC:1251

110.00 DA

#### Remarks

The official action mailed February 27, 2003 indicated that the IDS citation to provisional application no. 60/238795 would not be considered; claims 1, and 7-10 were rejected under §102(b) by US 5445646; claims 1-3 were rejected under §102(b) by US 5968069, and claims 1, and 4-6 were rejected under §103 based on US 6350278 in view of US 5445646.

## **IDS Citation**

Applicant has claimed priority under to the cited provisional application, and this claim was inserted into the application by preliminary amendment. Although the IDS form cites the provisional application, because it is related by a claim of priority, no copy need be sent in with the IDS.

# §102(b) Based On Euteneuer US 5445646

Applicant respectfully traverses the §102 rejection based on Euteneuer as to claims 1 and 7-10.